

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,638 04/26/2001		Scott J. Davis	P-9596.00	9208		
27581	7590	01/12/2004		EXAMINER		
MEDTRON			DROESCH, KRISTEN L			
710 MEDTR MS-LC340	ONIC PA	ARKWAY NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	N 55432-5604	3762	7		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<del></del>	A 11 44 \	<u> </u>					
			Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany			09/843,638		DAVIS ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit						
	Th. 1444 NO. 0475 - 444		Kristen L Droesch	at with the a	3762						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>12 No</u>	<u>vember 2003</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-23,26,29,30,35 and 43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19,24,25,27,28,31-34,36-42,44 and 45 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers											
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2002</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  Application No.  Some * c)  Some											
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P						

Art Unit: 3762

اليزا

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-19, 25, 27-28, 31-34, 36-37, 40-42, 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Romkee (5,603,730).

The statements of intended use have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art.

With respect to claims 1, 10, 13, and 31, Romkee shows a implantable therapy delivery device; a therapy delivery element (14); an adjustable anchor (24) coupleable to the therapy delivery element, the adjustable anchor being implantable and including a therapy grip element (50) configured to be actuated to an open position and a closed position, at least two extension elements (32, 34) connected to the therapy grip element extending perpendicularly from the therapy delivery element and configured to actuate the therapy grip element, and a tissue fixation element (86, 88) connected to the extension elements and configured to be fixed to a tissue location from an axial direction to the therapy delivery element (Col. 2, line 65-Col. 3, line 1).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 14, 32, 36, and 44, Romkee shows the tissue location is near where the therapy delivery element enters the human body on subcutaneous tissue (Col. 3, lines 32-35, Fig. 1).

With respect to claims 3, 12, 15, 33, 37, and 45, Romkee shows the two extension elements (32, 34) are actuated with a single pincer motion (Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claims 4-5, and 40-41, Romkee shows the therapy delivery system is an electrical lead and a catheter.

With respect to claim 16, Romkee shows the therapy grip element has a substantially rigid grip surface.

Regarding claim 17, Romkee shows the therapy grip element is configured in a normally closed position (Col. 2, line 65-Col. 3, line 24).

With respect to claims 18-19, Romkee shows the therapy grip element (50) covers at least about 25 and 270 degrees of the therapy delivery element (Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 25, Romkee shows the extension element is positioned at less than about 180 degrees in relation to the therapy delivery element (Figs. 3, 5).

With respect to claim 27, Romkee shows the tissue fixation element (86, 88) has a suture fixation configuration (Col. 2, lines 59-60).

Regarding claim 28, Romkee shows the tissue fixation element is configured at a predetermined position in relation to the extension element (Fig. 2).

With respect to claims 34, and 42, Romkee shows a method comprising inserting the therapy delivery element into a human body, opening the therapy grip element by actuating the extension elements, placing the therapy grip element on the therapy delivery element, positioning the therapy grip element at a grip location on the therapy delivery element, closing the therapy grip element by actuating the extension elements, securing the therapy grip element on the therapy delivery device when the therapy grip element is closed, positioning a tissue fixation element at a tissue location, and fixing the tissue fixation element to the tissue at the tissue

Art Unit: 3762

location in an orientation along the axial length of the therapy delivery element, and connecting the therapy delivery element to the therapy delivery device (Col. 3, lines 10-47).

3. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 18-19, 24-25, 27-28, 31, 34, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kristiansen (5,242,431).

The statements of intended use have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art.

With respect to claims 1, 10, 13, and 31, Kristiansen shows a implantable therapy delivery device; a therapy delivery element (12); an adjustable anchor (10) coupleable to the therapy delivery element, the adjustable anchor being implantable and including a therapy grip element (32) configured to be actuated to an open position and a closed position, at least two extension elements (two extensions 54, 56, on element 50) connected to the therapy grip element extending perpendicularly from the therapy delivery element and configured to actuate the therapy grip element, and a tissue fixation element (62) connected to the extension elements and configured to be fixed to a tissue location from an axial direction to the therapy delivery element (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 4-5, and 40-41, Kristiansen shows the therapy delivery system is an electrical lead and a catheter.

With respect to claims 18-19, Kristiansen shows the therapy grip element (50) covers at least about 25 and 270 degrees of the therapy delivery element (Figs. 2-3).

Regarding claim 24, Kristiansen shows the therapy grip element has a grip stop surface (36, 44, 46) that engages a complimentary extension stop surface (54, 56) to prevent the extension element from actuating the therapy grip element beyond a desired actuation limit

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claim 25, Kristiansen shows the extension element (54, 56) is positioned at less than about 180 degrees in relation to the therapy delivery element (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 27, Kristiansen shows the tissue fixation element (62) has a suture fixation configuration (Col. 4, lines 29- 32).

Regarding claim 28, Kristiansen shows the tissue fixation element is configured at a predetermined position in relation to the extension element (Fig. 1).

With respect to claims 34, and 42, Kristiansen shows a method comprising inserting the therapy delivery element into a human body, opening the therapy grip element by actuating the extension elements, placing the therapy grip element on the therapy delivery element, positioning the therapy grip element at a grip location on the therapy delivery element, closing the therapy grip element by actuating the extension elements, securing the therapy grip element on the therapy delivery device when the therapy grip element is closed, positioning a tissue fixation element at a tissue location, and fixing the tissue fixation element to the tissue at the tissue location in an orientation along the axial length of the therapy delivery element, and connecting the therapy delivery element to the therapy delivery element to the therapy delivery element.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-7, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romkee (5,603,730). Romkee discloses the claimed invention except for the implantable

Art Unit: 3762

therapy delivery device being a neurostimulator or therapeutic substance delivery device. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the implantable therapy delivery device as taught by Romkee with a neurostimulator or therapeutic substance delivery device, since applicant has not disclosed that this particular implantable therapy delivery device provides any criticality and /or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any implantable therapy delivery device such as the defibrillator taught by Romkee for defibrillating the heart.

Claims 6-7, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Kristiansen (5,242,431). Kristiansen discloses the claimed invention except for the implantable therapy delivery device being a neurostimulator or therapeutic substance delivery device. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the implantable therapy delivery device as taught by Kristiansen with a neurostimulator or therapeutic substance delivery device, since applicant has not disclosed that this particular implantable therapy delivery device provides any criticality and /or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any implantable therapy delivery device such as the pacemaker taught by Kristiansen for pacing the heart.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 7. disclosure. Werner et al (5,931,861) shows an anchor device with two extension members and a gripping element (Figs. 14-18). Wolosszko shows neurostimulation leads with an anchor element.

Kurte Droesel

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen L Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

kld

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Engel D. Apr